

| Date: | 8 th January 2021 | |
|---|---|---|
| Report of: | Director of People Borough Treasurer | Report No: |
| Contact Officer: | Julie Edwards Schools Finance Manager People Services | Tele No: Ext 2035 |
| Report Title: | Dedicated Schools Grant Budget 20 | 21-22 |
| Confidential / Non Confidential: (delete as approp) | This report does not contain information consideration in the absence of the pre- | |
| Purpose: | To summarise the Dedicated Schools and make recommendations in advance Executive Cabinet Member. | • |
| Recommendations: | The Schools Forum is asked to comm | ent on the Budget Report; |
| | and to recommend for approval by the Approve the transfer of 0.5% of the Needs Block (section 4) adopt a minimum funding guarante approve the 2021-22 unit values in Growth Fund Criteria (Section 7) De-delegation levels (Section 9) Central School Services expenditu Early Years central expenditure (S | e Executive Member:- e Schools Block to the High ee of 2% per pupil (section 5) appendix B (section 6) ere (Section 10) |
| Summary: | | 1 |
| , - | | |

Report to:

Schools Forum

1. Purpose of the Report

To summarise the Dedicated Schools Grant position for 2021-22 and make recommendations in advance of approval by the Executive Cabinet Member.

2. <u>Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG)</u>

The initial 2021-22 DSG for Bolton, before academy recoupment and high needs places deduction, has been confirmed as £ 317,522,000:-

| | Schools Block | Central School Services Block | High Needs Block | Early Years Block | Total DSG |
|---|------------------|--|---------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| | £m | £m | £m | £m | £m |
| 2020-21 initial DSG | 226.693 | 1.804 | 40.629 | 21.867 | 290.993 |
| 2020-21 changes in year :- | | | | | |
| Import/Export Adjustment | 0 | 0 | 0.033 | 0 | 0.033 |
| Change in Pupil Numbers | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.127 | 0.127 |
| 2020-21 Final DSG | 226.693 | 1.804 | 40.662 | 21.994 | 291.153 |
| Changes re 2021-22:- | | | | | |
| Transfer of Grants | 10.119 | 0.170 | 0.853 | 0 | 11.142 |
| National Funding Formula | 7.477 | -0.028 | 4.267 | 0.294 | 12.010 |
| Change in Pupil Numbers | 2.946 | 0.015 | 0.388 | 0 | 3.349 |
| Growth Fund | -0.132 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -0.132 |
| 2021-22 initial DSG before academy recoupment and high needs place deduction | 247.103 | 1.961 | 46.170 | 22.288 | 317.522 |

<u>Pupil Number Changes- Schools Block</u> – The impact of pupil number changes on the DSG are as follows:-

| | Primary (Reception – Year 6) | Secondary (Year 7 to Year 11) | Total |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|
| Pupil Numbers:- | | | |
| October 2019 | 28,150.0 | 18,523.5 | 46,673.5 |
| October 2020 | 27,967.5 | 19,158.5 | 47,126.0 |
| | | | |
| Change in Numbers | -182.5 | +635 | +452.5 |
| | | | |
| Funding:- | | | |
| Unit Funding | £4,595.00 | £5,959.32 | |
| Change in Funding | -£0.838m | £ 3.784m | £2.946 |

3. Schools Funding Formula Consultation

A briefing webinar was held on 1st December for all schools. Headteachers, governors and business managers were invited and there were 122 attendees.

There were 16 responses to the consultation representing 18 schools. The results, along with any additional comments, are attached in Appendix A.

Responses relating to the funding formula are summarised below:-

| Proposal | Number of Responses that Agreed | Number of Responses that Disagreed |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| Transfer of 0.5% of the schools block into the high needs block | 15 | 1 |
| Minimum Funding Guarantee (MFG) of 2% per pupil | 16 | 0 |
| Adopt National Funding Formula Unit Values | 15 | 0 |

The table indicates that there is a high level of agreement with the proposals.

The following paragraphs seek Schools Forum approval of the 2021-22 budget proposals based on the results of the consultation.

4. Transfer 0.5% of Schools Budget to High Needs

Local authorities can continue to be able to transfer up to 0.5% of their Schools block to other blocks of the DSG, with Schools Forum approval.

In 2021-22 the total schools block available for such transfers must exclude the additional funding local authorities have been allocated for the Teacher Pay Grant and Teachers Pensions Grant, guaranteeing that all this funding remains with schools

It is recognised that additional funding is being received from the Government however ongoing increased demand results in the continuation of the deficit position. The transfer will be time limited as this would not continue when the full national funding formula is in operation.

The transfer value would be £1,184,920 an the calculation is set out below:-

| | £ | £ |
|---|------|-------------|
| | | |
| DSG Schools Block | | 247,103,000 |
| Less | | |
| Allocation relating to transfer of Grants | | -10,119,000 |
| | | |
| Schools Block subject to % transfer | | 236,984,000 |
| | | |
| Transfer to High Needs | 0.5% | 1,184,920 |
| | | |

Recommendation 1 – Approve the transfer of 0.5% of the Schools Block to the High Needs Block

Voting – All Schools Forum members

5. Minimum Funding Guarantee (MFG) of 2% per Pupil

The MFG at national level is an increase of 2.0% per pupil and locally implementation can be an increase between 0.5% and 2.0% per pupil.

Implementing an MFG at 2% would mean that all schools would be guaranteed this increase and would be in line with the Schools Forum principle of protecting the lowest funded schools at the highest possible level

17 schools in Bolton would attract this protection as all the other schools would have increased above 2% per pupil.

Recommendation 2 – adopt a minimum funding guarantee of 2% per pupil

Voting – School and PVI representatives

6. 2021-22 Formula Details

The final consultation question in relation to the funding formula was to adopt the National Funding Formula unit values. This is in line with the Schools Forum principle of continuing to move towards the national funding formula so that Bolton

schools would not suffer unnecessary turbulence when the full formula is introduced and would be best placed for the transition.

All unit values proposed are at the same level as in the national funding formula except Free School Meals, this value is proposed at £415.35 compared to £460 in the national formula. It has been necessary to reduce the formula value because increased data, other than pupil number changes, is not reflected in the funding into Bolton until 2022-23 whereas schools will receive the funding in the formula in 2021-22.

The free school meal factor has been chosen as the "balancing factor", this is because:-

- the increase in data that is not reflected in the DSG settlement primarily relates to the number of FSM. The increase in meals numbers is 18% and the reduction in value per FSM is 7.7% therefore although the value per pupil is reducing there is still an increase in the deprivation budget relating to FSM. The reduction required is £404,000 and following the reduction the increase in funding into the factor is £425,000.
- the FSM factor is the same value in primary and in secondary therefore is simple, fair and transparent to amend

It should be noted that the reduction in the FSM factor does not have an impact on all schools as those receiving top up in relation to the minimum funding level or those receiving protection to achieve a 2% increase will not see the budgets reduced.

The table at Appendix B compares the 2021-22 formula to 2020-21, both in unit values and data changes.

Recommendation 3 – Approve the 2021-22 Unit Values in Appendix B

Voting – School and PVI representatives

7. Growth Fund

The growth fund allocation is £1.9 million, some of this funding is for implicit growth, this is where pupil numbers are amended within the formula for new and growing schools. The three schools this applies to are:-

- The Olive School opened in September 2016 and is adding a year group each year. All year groups will operate from September 2021
- Kings Leadership Academy opened in September 2019 and is adding a year group each year. All year groups will operate from September 2023
- University Collegiate School opened year 7 provision in September 2020 and from September 2021 will operate all secondary year groups.

The above adjustments will utilise £1.1m of the Growth fund. The balance of the Growth Fund that is available will create a growth pot for existing schools where they are asked by the Local Authority to increase the PAN to address basic need.

The allocation of funding will be based on the value within the national distribution formula:-

Primary Schools £1,455 per pupil Secondary schools £2,175 per pupil

Recommendation 4 – Approve criteria for allocating Growth Funding

Voting – All Schools Forum members

8. Reconciliation to DSG Schools Block

As set out in section 2 the Schools Block DSG is £247,103,000. It has been allocated as follows:-

| | £ | £ |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | | |
| DSG Schools Block | | 247,103,000 |
| | | |
| Allocated:- | | |
| Distributed through Formula | 245,140,000 | |
| 0.5% to High Needs Block | 1,185,000 | |
| Growth Fund Available | 778,000 | |
| | | 247,103,000 |

9. De-delegation

Schools Forum is allowed to retain funding centrally for some services on behalf of all maintained primary and secondary schools where they believe this will be of benefit to the children within Bolton. The process is that funding is delegated to schools and then maintained schools buy back globally into the service. Academies can buy back into these services via a Service Level Agreement.

The budget consultation included a section on de-delegation to obtain the individual schools view on each service, details are in Appendix A.

A summary of the results of the consultation were as follows:-

| Proposal | Number of Responses that Agreed | Number of Responses that Disagreed |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Maternity | 11 | 1 |
| Libraries & Museums | 11 | 1 |
| Free School Meal Eligibility | 12 | 0 |
| CLEAPSS | 12 | 0 |
| Partnerships | 12 | 0 |

The above responses relate to maintained schools as the de-delegation decision of the Schools Forum only relates to that sector and it is proposed that de-delegation continues in 2021-22 for each of the services.

Final values for the proposed de-delegation for maintained schools are in the table below:-

| Proposal | Primary per pupil | Primary Total | Secondary per pupil | Secondary Total | Total |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------|
| | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ |
| Maternity | 30.0 | 612,510 | 35.0 | 234,115 | 846,625 |
| Schools Library Service | 9.25 | 188,857 | 0.00 | 0 | 188,857 |
| Schools Museum Service | 1.00 | 20,417 | 0.20 | 1,338 | 21,755 |
| Free School Meals Eligibility | 9.00 | 42,777 | 11.30 | 15,199 | 57,976 |
| Partnerships | 1.40 | 28,584 | 0.00 | 0 | 28,584 |
| CLEAPSS | 0.15 | 3,063 | 0.25 | 1,672 | 4,735 |

The cost per pupil has remained the same in 2021-22 as 2020-21 for all services apart from maternity.

Maternity actuals to date in 2020-21 have increased by £270,000 compared to the same point in time in the previous year. It is therefore proposed to increase the maternity de-delegation value by £5 per pupil.

An SLA for each service will be offered to Academies at the same amount per pupil.

Recommendation 5 – approve each item for de-delegation, by phase

Voting – Maintained Primary and Secondary School members

10. Central School Services Block

The central school services block (CSSB) funds local authorities for the statutory duties they hold for both maintained schools, and academies.

Schools Forum in the past have been presented with detailed presentations about each of the services within the CSSB.

As set out in section 2 the Central Schools Services Block has seen an increase of £170,000 re the transfer of grants and a net reduction of £13,000 due the formula. This reduction is a combination of the following allocations:-

- Reduction in historic commitments £109,000
- Increase in ongoing responsibilities £96,000

The reduction in historic commitments is part of the DfE's planned phasing to remove these budgets to ensure fair funding across Local Authorities.

During the next 12 months in preparation for further reductions in 2022-23 the CSSB budgets will be reviewed. In the meantime it is proposed that the net reduction of £13,000 is taken from the Schools in Difficulty budget as this is where there is a current underspend.

The table overleaf sets out the approval process and 2021-22 budget details for each item:-

| Schools Foru | Schools Forum approval is not required (although they should be consulted):- | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------|--|--|--|
| | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | | | |
| Central Licences | £247,600 | £247,900 | These licences are negotiated by the Secretary of State on behalf of all schools and relate to Copyright. | | |
| Sch | l nools Forum ann | roval is required | I on a line by line basis:- | | |
| Ongoing Commitment | | Tovar is required | Torra line by line basis. | | |
| | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | | | |
| Servicing of Schools | £27,300 | £27,300 | This is mainly officer time. | | |
| Forum | | | The is many smeet ame. | | |
| Admissions | £259,100 | £259,100 | Admissions service | | |
| Contribution to responsibilities that Local Authorities hold for all schools | £720,000 | £720,000 | Education Welfare, Asset Management and Statutory/Regulatory duties | | |
| Teacher Pay and Pensions Grant – Centrally Employed Teachers | 0 | 170,500 | Funding for Local Authority centrally employed teachers previously funded by grant, eg Music service | | |
| Schools Forum approval the previous funding per Combined Services/H | iod and no new | commitments ca | s, budget cannot exceed the value agreed in an be entered into:- | | |
| | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | | | |
| Schools in Financial Difficulty | £183,600 | £169,500 | School to School Support Managed by the Strategic Executive Committee of the Primary Headteachers Partnership and Bolton Learning Partnership Schools Finance Unit Support to schools in deficit over and above the core service. | | |
| Safeguarding | £114,700 | £114,700 | To assist schools with their safeguarding duties. | | |
| Audit | £54,700 | £54,700 | Additional Audit resource for Schools | | |
| Music | £101,400 | £101,400 | Contribution to Music Service | | |
| Regeneration & Partnerships | £45,200 | £45,200 | Support to schools in obtaining external funding through grant applications and training of business managers | | |
| Integrated Working | £50,500 | £50,500 | Supports posts within Families First programme and Multi agency working for children to access school | | |
| Central Schools | £1,804,100 | £1,960,800 | | | |
| Block | | | | | |

Recommendation 6 - Approve each line of Central Expenditure as set out in the table above

Voting – All Schools Forum Members

11. Early Years Block

There is a requirement for all local authorities to:-

- Have a local early years single funding formula model that allocated funding on one singular base rate
- Set up a SEN Inclusion Fund; and
- Pass through 95% of the funding to providers

Bolton is fully compliant with the regulations.

Early Years Funding Rates

As set out in section 2 the Early Years Block has increased by £294,000. This represents an increase to the national base rates:-

- 8 pence per hour for 2 year olds.
- 6 pence per hour for 3 and 4 year olds

It is proposed to passport this increase in full to providers, ie to increase the base rates to providers by the same value per hour as received from the Government.

The new hourly rates will be:-

| Early Years Rates | | | | |
|--|---------|--------|----------|--------|
| | 2020-21 | | 2021-22 | |
| | | | Proposed | |
| | Per | Hour | Per | Hour |
| 3 & 4 year old Universal Base Rate | £ | 4.08 | £ | 4.14 |
| Deprivation | £ | 0.38 | £ | 0.38 |
| | | | | |
| 3 & 4 year old additional 15 hours Base Rate | £ | 4.08 | £ | 4.14 |
| | | | | |
| 3 & 4 year old Universal MNS Base Rate | £ | 5.01 | £ | 5.07 |
| MNS Deprivation | £ | 0.86 | £ | 0.86 |
| | | | | |
| 2 year Old | £ | 5.18 | £ | 5.26 |
| | | | | |
| Early Years Pupil Premium | £ | 0.53 | £ | 0.53 |
| | | | | |
| | Pe | r Year | Pe | r Year |
| Disability Access Fund | £ | 615 | £ | 615 |
| | | | | |

Maintained Nursery schools (MNS) will still be funded for the first 15 hours provision on a protected rate, this funded separately within the DSG.

Central Expenditure

A regulatory requirement within the early years reforms is to passport 95% of funding to providers, ie a maximum retention of 5% for central functions.

Bolton's central expenditure is £965,000 which is 4.32% of the block.

The expenditure includes a range of support to providers to improve early years outcomes through improving quality; it also enables the Local Authority to manage the sufficiency and take up of places.

Recommendation 7 – Approve the level of Central Expenditure within the Early Years Block

12. High Needs Block

The 2020-21 High Needs Block will be £5.559m higher than the 2020-21 allocation.

This increase is detailed as follows:-

| Changes to High Needs Block 2020-21 to 2021-22 | | | |
|--|-------|--|--|
| | £m | | |
| Increase in DSG – national formula | 4.267 | | |
| Increase in DSG – Pupil Numbers | 0.388 | | |
| Transfer of Grants | 0.853 | | |
| Change in contribution from Schools Block | 0.051 | | |
| | | | |
| Total Increase | 5.559 | | |

As reported at Quarter 2 the projected 2020-21 position is an in year overspend of £2.9m, which will increase the cumulative overspend to £16.2m. The Schools Forum will continue to be informed on the action plan on an ongoing basis, and in detail through the Formula Review Group.

Special Schools

In Bolton the increase in Special Schools budgets is based on the level of MFG in mainstream schools, 2% in 2021-22.

The increase will also be applied to resource provisions in mainstream schools, however this will only apply to the top up as the place funding is received through the school budget formula allocation.

The table overleaf sets out the top up rates for special schools in 2021-22.

| Category of Need | Place Funding | Top up Funding | Total Funding |
|------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| | per Pupil | per Pupil | Per Pupil |
| | £ | £ | £ |
| MLD – Level 1 | 10,000 | 669 | 10,669 |
| MLD – Level 2 | 10,000 | 25,427 | 35,427 |
| | | | |
| SLD – Level 1 | 10,000 | 2,996 | 12,996 |
| SLD – Level 2 | 10,000 | 27,938 | 37,938 |
| | | | |
| PMLD – Level 1 | 10,000 | 13,826 | 23,826 |
| PMLD – Level 2 | 10,000 | 31,286 | 41,286 |
| | | | |
| ASD – Level 1 | 10,000 | 13,826 | 23,826 |
| ASD – Level 2 | 10,000 | 31,286 | 41,286 |
| | | | |
| SEMH – Level 1 | 10,000 | 9,934 | 19,934 |
| SEMH – Level 2 | 10,000 | 39,032 | 49,032 |
| | | | |
| HI – Level 1 | 10,000 | 7,392 | 17,392 |
| HI – Level 2 | 10,000 | 11,623 | 21,623 |
| HI – Level 3 | 10,000 | 15,853 | 25,853 |
| | | | |

In addition to the above top ups KS4 and KS5 pupils have historically received an additional amount to reflect additional costs. As part of a review Special Headteachers agreed the value should be £700 per pupil. This has been reduced from £1,660 over a transitional period and will be £700 in 2021-22.

The Teachers pay and pensions grant will continue to be paid as a separate payment to Special Schools, based on how it is received in the DSG using October census data.

13. Pupil Premium

Pupil Premium

The table below sets out the pupil premium rates for 2021-22, the rates per pupil remain unchanged from 2020-21.

| Pupil Premium Grant rates per pupil | | 2021-22 |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Ever6 FSM | Primary | £ 1,345 |
| | Secondary | £ 955 |
| Looked after children | | £ 2,345 |
| Post LAC/Adopted | | £ 2,345 |
| Service Children | | £ 310 |

14. Communication to Schools

It is important that schools are aware of, and understand, the reasons that their budgets change from year to year. Head teachers and Chairs of Governors will be sent a letter detailing the 2021-22 budget and setting out the following information:-

- demographic changes for example pupil numbers
- formula changes, for example impact of capping/protection
- changes having no net impact on the budget, for example rates

15. Recommendations

The Schools Forum is asked to comment on the Budget Report; and to recommend for approval by the Executive Member:-

- approve the transfer of 0.5% of the Schools Block to the High Needs Block (section 4)
- adopt a minimum funding guarantee of 2% per pupil (section 5)
- approve the 2021-22 unit values in appendix B (section 6)
- Growth Fund Criteria (Section 7)
- De-delegation levels (Section 9)
- Central School Services expenditure (Section 10)
- Early Years central expenditure (Section 11)



School funding review

Report by Consultation & Research Team

December 2020

Key findings

- 16 respondents, a mix of 10 primary and 6 secondary schools, 12 of which were maintained and 4 academies.
- The majority of respondents agreed with the three proposals 1] A 0.5% transfer of the schools block to address pressures in the high needs block, 2] A Minimum Funding Guarantee of +2% per pupil 3] Adopt the National Funding Formula Unit Values. Proposal one was the only one that any respondents disagreed with, and then only one school.
- 11 maintained schools agreed with de-delegation of maternity, and of Library & Museums service, and 3 academies were interested in a SLA for these.
- All maintained schools agreed with de-delegation of Free School Meals Eligibility Checking, CLEAPSS Subscription Checking, and Partnerships
- All academies were interested in a SLA for Free School Meals Eligibility Checking, and also for Partnerships, however only 1 academy was interested in a SLA for CLEAPSS Subscription Checking.

Introduction & methodology

• Consultation was undertaken with all schools affected by the funding review.

Methodology

- Affected school heads and governors were sent a link to an electronic survey in December 2020 and invited to give their views on the funding review.
- A copy of the questionnaire is included in Appendix 1.

Consultation responses

Analysis notes

- Results are presented in the questionnaire format.
- Due to the extremely low number of respondents, results are presented numerically rather than as percentages. Base: unless otherwise stated the base is the number of respondents to a particular question.

Responses

16 questionnaires were returned.

The questions

About you and your school

Q1: Are you a ...?

Q1a: If other please state

| Q1 & Q1a Response | No. of respondents |
|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Head | 8 |
| Business manager | 3 |
| CFO | 3 |
| Other – not specified | 2 |
| Governor | 0 |
| Base | 16 |

- Eight respondents were Head teachers
- Eight ticked 'other' and six of these went on to give their roles, which have been included in the above table.
- No Governors responded.

Q2: Is your school a primary or secondary school?

| Q2 Response | No. of respondents |
|-------------|--------------------|
| Primary | 10 |
| Secondary | 6 |
| Base | 16 |

• Ten respondents represented a primary school and six a secondary school

Q3: Is it a Maintained school or an Academy?

| Q3 Response | No. of respondents |
|-------------|--------------------|
| Maintained | 12 |
| Academy | 4 |
| Base | 16 |

• Twelve respondents represented a maintained school and four an academy.

Q2 & Q3

| Q2 & Q3 Response | No. of respondents |
|----------------------|--------------------|
| Primary academy | 2 |
| Primary maintained | 8 |
| Secondary academy | 2 |
| Secondary maintained | 4 |
| Base | 16 |

· Table shows Q2 and Q3 combined

Proposals

Proposal 1: A 0.5% transfer of the schools block to address pressures in the high needs block

Q6: Do you agree with the transfer of 0.5% of the schools block into the high needs block?

| Q6 response | No. of | |
|----------------------|-------------|----|
| | respondents | |
| School type | Yes | No |
| Primary academy | 2 | - |
| Primary maintained | 7 | 1 |
| Secondary academy | 4 | - |
| Secondary maintained | 2 | - |
| Base | | 16 |

• Fifteen schools agreed with this proposal and one [primary maintained] disagreed.

Q7: If no, can you suggest an alternative?

• No alternatives were suggested.

Proposal 2: A Minimum Funding Guarantee of +2% per pupil

Q8: Do you agree with a minimum funding guarantee of +2% per pupil?

| Q8 response | No. of respondents | |
|----------------------|--------------------|----|
| School type | Yes | No |
| Primary academy | 2 | - |
| Primary maintained | 8 | - |
| Secondary academy | 2 | - |
| Secondary maintained | 4 | - |
| Base | 16 | |

• All respondents agreed with this proposal, therefore q9 [If no, can you suggest an alternative?] was not asked.

Proposal 3: Adopt the National Funding Formula Unit Values

Q10: Do you agree with the proposal to adopt the National Funding Formula Unit Values?

| Q10 response | Ν | No. of | |
|----------------------|------|-------------|--|
| | resp | respondents | |
| School type | Yes | No | |
| Primary academy | 2 | - | |
| Primary maintained | 8 | - | |
| Secondary academy | 2 | - | |
| Secondary maintained | 3 | - | |
| Base | | 15 | |

• No respondents disagreed with this proposal, therefore q11 [If no, can you suggest an alternative?] was not asked.

De-delegation

Maintained schools were asked:

Q12: Do you agree with the de-delegation of maternity?

| Q12 response | No. of respondents | |
|------------------------|--------------------|---|
| Maintained school type | Yes No | |
| Primary | 8 | - |
| Secondary | 3 | 1 |
| Base | 12 | |

• Eleven maintained schools agreed with de-delegation of maternity, but one secondary school disagreed.

Academies were asked:

Q13: Would you be interested in an SLA?

| Q13 response | No. of respondents | |
|---------------------|--------------------|---|
| Academy school type | Yes No | |
| Primary | 1 | - |
| Secondary | 2 | - |
| Base | 3 | |

Three academies were interested in a maternity SLA.

Library and Museums Service

Maintained schools were asked:

Q14: Do you agree with the de-delegation of the Library and Museums Service?

| Q14 response | | No. of respondents | |
|------------------------|-----|--------------------|--|
| Maintained school type | Yes | No | |
| Primary | 8 | - | |
| Secondary | 3 | 1 | |
| Base | | 12 | |

• Eleven maintained schools agreed with de-delegation of Library & Museums service, but one secondary disagreed.

Academies were asked:

Q15: Would you be interested in an SLA?

| Q15 response | No. of respondents | |
|---------------------|--------------------|---|
| Academy school type | Yes No | |
| Primary | 1 | 1 |
| Secondary | 2 | - |
| Base | | 4 |

 Three academies were interested in a SLA for schools the Libraries & Museum service, and one primary wasn't interested.

Free School Meals Eligibility Checking

Maintained schools were asked:

Q16: Do you agree with the de-delegation of Free School Meals Eligibility Checking?

| Q16 response | | lo. of ondents | | |
|------------------------|-----|-------------------|--|--|
| Maintained school type | Yes | No | | |
| Primary | 8 | - | | |
| Secondary | 4 | - | | |
| Base | | 12 | | |

 All maintained school respondents agreed with the de-delegation of free school meals eligibility checking.

Academies were asked:

Q17: Would you be interested in an SLA?

| Q17 response | | No. of respondents | | |
|---------------------|-----|--------------------|--|--|
| Academy school type | Yes | No | | |
| Primary | 2 | - | | |
| Secondary | 2 | - | | |
| Base | | 4 | | |

 All academy respondents were interested in a SLA for free school meals eligibility checking.

CLEAPSS subscription

Q18: Do you agree with the de-delegation of CLEAPSS Subscription Checking?

| Q18 response | No. of respondents | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------|----|--|--|
| Maintained school type | Yes | No | | |
| Primary | 8 | - | | |
| Secondary | 4 | - | | |
| Base | 12 | | | |

 All maintained school respondents agreed with the de-delegation of CLEAPSS Subscription Checking.

Academies were asked:

Q19: Would you be interested in an SLA?

| Q19 response | No. of respondents | | |
|---------------------|--------------------|----|--|
| Academy school type | Yes | No | |
| Primary | - | 2 | |
| Secondary | 1 | 1 | |
| Base | 4 | | |

• Only one school was interested in a SLA for CLEAPSS Subscription Checking.

Partnerships

Q20: Do you agree with the de-delegation of Partnerships?

| Q20 response | No. of respondents | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------|----|--|--|
| Maintained school type | Yes | No | | |
| Primary | 8 | - | | |
| Secondary | 4 | - | | |
| Base | 12 | | | |

• All maintained school respondents agreed with the de-delegation of Partnerships.

Academies were asked:

Q21: Would you be interested in an SLA?

| Q21 response | No. of respondents | | |
|---------------------|--------------------|----|--|
| Academy school type | Yes | No | |
| Primary | 2 | - | |
| Secondary | 2 | - | |
| Base | 4 | | |

• All academies were interested in a SLA for Partnerships

Summary

Sixteen responses, representing primary and secondary schools, both maintained and acadamies. The majority of respondents were in favour of the three proposals. The majority of maintained schools agreed with de-delegation services suggested and there was interest in SLA from academies for most of the services

In addition to the answers to the questions, the following comment was made during consultation:

"Although XXX has given a positive indication to the transfer of 0.5% of school budget to the high needs block, XXX wishes to place on record, it's dissatisfaction of the year on year annual deficit of the high needs service. We would not want to see a pushing back of high needs delivery, especially when 0.5% transfer is maintained.

We would like to see more efficient, more economical delivery of the high needs block"

| | | _ | | | | | | | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | |
|----------------|----------------------|---|--------------------|---|--------------------|-----|----------------|----------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | | | 020-21 | 2 | 021-22 | Tn. | crease | % | Data | Data | Change |
| 1. BASIC | ENTITLEMENT | | 020-21 | | 021-22 | 111 | LI Case | 70 | Data | Data | Change |
| II DAGIC | LIVIZIELIILIVI | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Primary | £ | 2,857 | £ | 3,123 | £ | 266 | 9.31% | 28,185 | 28,001 | - 184 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Secondary | | | | | | | | | | |
| | KS3 | £ | 4,018 | | 4,404 | | 386 | 9.61% | _ ′ | 12,077 | 487 |
| | KS4 | £ | 4,561 | £ | 4,963 | £ | 402 | 8.81% | 7,039 | 7,262 | 223 |
| 2. DEPRI | IVATION | | | | | | | | | | |
| FSM | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Primary | £ | 450 | £ | 415 | -£ | 35 | -7.70% | 5,850 | 6,902 | 1,052 |
| | Secondary | £ | 450 | £ | 415 | -£ | 35 | -7.70% | - | 4,542 | 774 |
| EVER 6 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LVEKO | Primary | £ | 560 | £ | 575 | £ | 15 | 2.68% | 7,872 | 7,678 | - 194 |
| | Secondary | £ | 815 | £ | 840 | £ | 25 | 3.07% | | 6,195 | 37 |
| | | | | | | | | | | • | |
| IDACI | Drimon | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Primary | | | | | | | | | | |
| | IDACI Band G | £ | - | £ | - | £ | _ | | 10,283 | 10,128 | - 155 |
| | IDACI Band F | £ | 210.00 | £ | 215 | £ | 5 | 2.38% | | • | - 1,102 |
| | IDACI Band E | £ | 250.00 | £ | 260 | £ | 10 | 4.00% | - | 4,936 | |
| | IDACI Band D | £ | 375.00 | £ | 410 | £ | 35 | 9.33% | 2,644 | 2,275 | - 369 |
| | IDACI Band C | £ | 405.00 | £ | 445 | £ | 40 | 9.88% | 2,122 | 3,041 | 918 |
| | IDACI Band B | £ | 435.00 | £ | 475 | £ | 40 | 9.20% | 2,373 | 2,508 | 135 |
| | IDACI Band A | £ | 600.00 | £ | 620 | £ | 20 | 3.33% | 1,535 | 2,315 | 780 |
| | Secondary | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Secondary | | | | | | | | | | |
| | IDACI Band G | £ | - | £ | - | £ | - | | 7,207 | 7,318 | 110 |
| | IDACI Band F | £ | 300 | £ | 310 | £ | 10 | 3.33% | 2,490 | 1,743 | - 747 |
| | IDACI Band E | £ | 405 | £ | 415 | £ | 10 | 2.47% | 3,421 | 3,443 | 22 |
| | IDACI Band D | £ | 535 | £ | 580 | £ | 45 | 8.41% | | 1,597 | 11 |
| | IDACI Band C | £ | 580 | £ | 630 | £ | 50 | 8.62% | | 1,989 | 617 |
| | IDACI Band B | £ | 635 | £ | 680 | £ | 45 | 7.09% | | 1,696 | 130 |
| | IDACI Band A | £ | 840 | £ | 865 | £ | 25 | 2.98% | 987 | 1,553 | 567 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PRIOR ATT | | _ | 1 044 | _ | 1 005 | _ | F1 | 4.020/ | 10,041 | 0.271 | 770 |
| | Primary | £ | 1,044 | | 1,095 | £ | 51 | 4.93% | | 9,271 | |
| | Secondary | £ | 1,610 | £ | 1,660 | £ | 50 | 3.11% | 3,968 | 4,279 | 311 |
| 4. EAL | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Primary | £ | 535 | £ | 550 | £ | 15 | 2.80% | 4,786 | 4,738 | - 48 |
| | Secondary | £ | 1,440 | £ | 1,485 | £ | 45 | 3.13% | , | 781 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. MOBII | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Primary | £ | 875 | £ | 900 | | 25 | 2.86% | | 140 | |
| | Secondary | £ | 1,250 | £ | 1,290 | £ | 40 | 3.20% | 93 | 17 | - 76 |
| <u>6. LUMP</u> | SUM | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Driman | _ | 11/ /00 | ۲ | 117 000 | ۲ | 3 400 | 2 070/ | 97 | 07 | |
| | Primary Secondary | | 114,400 114,400 | £ | 117,800 117,800 | £ | 3,400 3,400 | 2.97% 2.97% | | | |
| | Jecondal y | E | 117,700 | _ | 117,000 | £ | J, 700 | ∠.J/ ⁻ /0 | 20 | 20 | |
| i l | | 1 | | 1 | | Ì | | | | | |